Record or Redaction Number	Record Name/Date	Description of Record or Redaction	Custodian's Explanation/ Citation for Non-disclosure or Redactions	Findings of the <i>In Camera</i> Examination ⁸
2020-110- 0009	One (1) page of an invoice from Scarinci Hollenbeck dated January 23, 2020.	Entry dated December 17, 2019.	Student Records. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 9(a); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 6A:32-2.1; <u>L.R.</u> , 238 <u>N.J.</u> 547.	Except for the student's initials, the entry dated December 17, 2019 is not exempt from disclosure as a student record pursuant to <u>L.R.</u> , 238 <u>N.J.</u> 547. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0011	One (1) page of an invoice from Scarinci Hollenbeck dated January 20, 2020.	Entry dated January 13, 2020. Entry dated January 15, 2020.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1. Student Records. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 9(a); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 6A:32-2.1; <u>L.R.</u> , 238 <u>N.J.</u> 547.	Except for the students' initials, both entries are not exempt from disclosure as a student record pursuant to <u>L.R.</u> , 238 <u>N.J.</u> 547. Further, the information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney- client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted

⁸ Unless expressly identified for redaction, everything in the record shall be disclosed. For purposes of identifying redactions, unless otherwise noted a paragraph/new paragraph begins whenever there is an indentation and/or a skipped space(s). The paragraphs are to be counted starting with the first whole paragraph in each record and continuing sequentially through the end of the record. If a record is subdivided with topic headings, renumbering of paragraphs will commence under each new topic heading. Sentences are to be counted in sequential order throughout each paragraph in each record. Each new paragraph will begin with a new sentence number. If only a portion of a sentence is to be redacted, the word in the sentence which the redaction follows or precedes, as the case may be, will be identified and set off in quotation marks. If there is any question as to the location and/or extent of the redaction, the GRC should be contacted for clarification before the record is redacted. The GRC recommends the redactor make a paper copy of the original record and manually "black out" the information on the copy with a dark colored marker, then provide a <u>copy</u> of the blacked-out record to the requester.

				information to the
2020-110- 0012	One (1) page of an invoice from Scarinci Hollenbeck dated February 20, 2020.	Undated entry marked with 0.2 billable hours near the top of the page. Entry dated January 22, 2020.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1. Student Records. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 9(a); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 6A:32-2.1; <u>L.R.</u> , 238 <u>N.J.</u> 547.	Complainant. Except for the students' initials, both entries are not exempt from disclosure as a student record pursuant to <u>L.R.</u> , 238 <u>N.J.</u> 547. Further, the information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney- client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0014	One (1) page of an invoice from Scarinci Hollenbeck dated January 20, 2020.	Entry dated January 31, 2020.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0015	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated November 14, 2019.	Top redaction identifying the matter.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted

				information to the
				Complainant.
2020-110-	One (1) page	Top redaction		Regarding the top
2020-110- 0017	of an invoice	identifying the		redaction: the redacted
0017	from Porzio,	matter.		
		matter.		information is general
2020 110	Bromberg &	Entring datad		enough that it does not
2020-110-	Newman,	Entries dated		reveal any legal advice,
0027	LLC dated	November 6,		strategy, or work product
	December 13,	2019, with 0.6		and is not exempt from
	2019.	and 3.2 hours		disclosure as attorney-
		billed.		client privileged
				information pursuant to
				<u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1.
				Therefore, the Custodian
				must disclose the redacted
				information to the
				Complainant.
				Regarding the entries
				dated November 6, 2019:
				redacting "OPRA" or the
				"Open Public Records
				Act" alone is general
				enough that it does not
				reveal any legal advice,
				strategy, or work product
				and is not exempt from
				disclosure as attorney-
				client privileged
				information pursuant to
				<u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1.
				Therefore, the Custodian
				must disclose the redacted
				information to the
				Complainant.
2020-110-	One (1) page	Entry dated	Attorney Client	Redacting "OPRA" or the
0018	of an invoice	November 19,	Privileged	"Open Public Records
	from Porzio,	2019, with 0.3	Information /	Act" alone is general
2020-110-	Bromberg &	hours billed.	Work Product.	enough that it does not
0028	Newman,		<u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-	reveal any legal advice,
	LLC dated		1.1.	strategy, or work product
	December 13,			and is not exempt from
	2019.			disclosure as attorney-
				client privileged
				information pursuant to
				<u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1.

Tom Maciejewski v. Berkeley Heights Board of Education (Union), 2020-110 – *In Camera* Findings and Recommendations of the Executive 10 Director

				Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0019 2020-110- 0029	One (1) page of an invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated December 13, 2019.	Entry dated November 26, 2019.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	Redacting "OPRA" or the "Open Public Records Act" alone is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney- client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0021 2020-110- 0031	One (1) page of an invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated January 23, 2020.	Top redaction identifying the matter. Entry dated December 12, 2019, with 0.4 hours billed. Entry dated December 17, 2019.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	Complement.Regarding the topredaction: the redactedinformation is generalenough that it does notreveal any legal advice,strategy, or work productand is not exempt fromdisclosure as attorney-client privilegedinformation pursuant toN.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1.Therefore, the Custodianmust disclose the redactedinformation to theComplainant.Regarding the entriesdated December 12, 2019and December 17, 2019:redacting "OPRA" or the"Open Public RecordsAct" alone is generalenough that it does notreveal any legal advice,strategy, or work productand is not exempt fromdisclosure as attorney-

Tom Maciejewski v. Berkeley Heights Board of Education (Union), 2020-110 – In Camera Findings and Recommendations of the Executive 11 Director

2020-110- 0024 2020-110- 0034	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated January 23, 2020.	Top redaction identifying the matter.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant. The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0025	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated November 14, 2019.	Top redaction identifying the matter.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0027	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated December 13, 2019.	Top redaction identifying the matter.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.

Tom Maciejewski v. Berkeley Heights Board of Education (Union), 2020-110 – *In Camera* Findings and Recommendations of the Executive 12 Director

2020-110- 0037	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated May 31, 2019.	Top redaction in the subject heading.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0040	One (1) page voucher for payment to Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated May 31, 2019.	Redaction within the description section.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0041	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated May 21, 2019.	Redactions within the subject heading and the first sentence in the body.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0042	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated	Top redaction in the subject heading.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged

Tom Maciejewski v. Berkeley Heights Board of Education (Union), 2020-110 – *In Camera* Findings and Recommendations of the Executive 13 Director

	May 21, 2019.			information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0047	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated June 20, 2019.	Redactions within the subject heading and the first sentence in the body.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0048	One (1) page voucher for payment to Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated June 28, 2019.	Redaction within the description section.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0049	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated June 20, 2019.	Redactions within the subject heading and the first sentence in the body.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.

2020-110- 0050	One (1) page voucher for payment to Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated June 28, 2019.	Redaction within the description section.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0051	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated June 20, 2019.	Top redaction in the subject heading.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0052	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated June 20, 2019.	Top redaction in the subject heading.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0054	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated	First and third redaction in the subject heading.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged

Tom Maciejewski v. Berkeley Heights Board of Education (Union), 2020-110 – *In Camera* Findings and Recommendations of the Executive 15 Director

	June 20, 2019.			information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted
				information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0055	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated July 19, 2019.	Redactions within the subject heading and the first sentence in the body.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0056	One (1) page voucher for payment to Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated July 29, 2019.	Redaction within the description section.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0059	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated July 19, 2019.	Redactions within the subject heading and the first sentence in the body.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.

2020-110- 0060	One (1) page voucher for payment to Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated July 29, 2019.	Redaction within the description section.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0061	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated July 19, 2019.	Top redaction in the subject heading.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0065	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated July 19, 2019.	Top redaction in the subject heading.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0066	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated	First and third redaction in the subject heading.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged

Tom Maciejewski v. Berkeley Heights Board of Education (Union), 2020-110 – *In Camera* Findings and Recommendations of the Executive 17 Director

	August 30, 2019.			information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0067	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated August 23, 2019.	Redactions within the subject heading and the first sentence in the body.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0068	One (1) page voucher for payment to Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated August 30, 2019.	Redaction within the description section.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0073	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated August 23, 2019.	Top redaction in the subject heading.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.

2020-110- 0078	One (1) page voucher for payment to Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated September 30, 2019.	Redaction within the description section.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0079	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated September 19, 2019.	Redactions within the subject heading and the first sentence in the body.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0083	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated September 19, 2019.	Top redaction in the subject heading.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0088	One (1) page voucher for payment to Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated	Redaction within the description section.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged

Tom Maciejewski v. Berkeley Heights Board of Education (Union), 2020-110 – In Camera Findings and Recommendations of the Executive 19 Director

	October 31,			information pursuant to
	2019.			<u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0089	One (1) page cover letter from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated October 24, 2019.	Redactions within the subject heading and the first sentence in the body.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0091	One (1) page invoice from Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, LLC dated October 24, 2019.	Top redaction in the subject heading. Entry dated September 16, 2019.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	Regarding the top redaction: the redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney- client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant. Regarding the entry dated September 16, 2019: redacting "OPRA" or the "Open Public Records Act" alone is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney- client privileged

Tom Maciejewski v. Berkeley Heights Board of Education (Union), 2020-110 – *In Camera* Findings and Recommendations of the Executive 20 Director

2020.110				information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0093	One (1) page of an invoice from Scarinci Hollenbeck dated October 22, 2019.	Top redaction identifying the matter.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0100	One (1) page of an invoice from Scarinci Hollenbeck dated September 17, 2019.	Top redaction identifying the matter.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0109	One (1) page of an invoice from Scarinci Hollenbeck dated August 14, 2019.	Top redaction identifying the matter.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.

2020-110- 0119	One (1) page of an invoice from Scarinci Hollenbeck dated July 16, 2019.	Top redaction identifying the matter.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged
				information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.
2020-110- 0125	One (1) page of an invoice from Scarinci Hollenbeck dated June 20, 2019.	Top redaction identifying the matter.	Attorney Client Privileged Information / Work Product. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A- 1.1.	The redacted information is general enough that it does not reveal any legal advice, strategy, or work product and is not exempt from disclosure as attorney-client privileged information pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1. Therefore, the Custodian must disclose the redacted information to the Complainant.

Thus, a portion of the Custodian's redactions were invalid on the basis of protecting attorney-client privileged communications and/or student records. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-1.1; <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 47:1A-9(a); <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 6A:32-2.1; <u>L.R.</u>, 238 <u>N.J.</u> 547. On the basis of the Council's determination in this matter, the Custodian shall comply with the Council's findings of the *in camera* examination set forth in the above table.

Knowing & Willful

The Council defers analysis of whether the Custodian knowingly and willfully violated OPRA and unreasonably denied access under the totality of the circumstances pending the Custodian's compliance with the Council's Interim Order.

Prevailing Party Attorney's Fees

The Council defers analysis of whether the Complainant is a prevailing party pending the Custodian's compliance with the Council's Interim Order.